	Case 2:10-cv-00494-GMN-VCF Document 33 Filed 05/04/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	
8	LEE MURRAY SYKES,
9	Petitioner, 2:10-cv-00494-GMN-LRL
10 11	vs.) ORDER
12	ANTHONY SCILLIA, et al.,
13	Respondents.
14	
15	Before the Court is petitioner's Motion to Withdraw the Court Order and to Consider
16	New Evidence (ECF No. 30) together with a Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 31)
17	requesting an additional 45 days to respond to the Court's recently issued Order to Show Cause.
18	Petitioner complains that he was not afforded the appropriate time to file his reply to the response to
19	his motion for reconsideration. He further wishes to present new evidence that was not part of his
20	original motion for reconsideration or, apparently, his response to the Motion to Dismiss. Such
21	evidence is untimely and unpersuasive. Exhaustion of a claim requires that it be presented to the
22	state's highest court for consideration. Rose v. Lundy, 455 U.S. 509 (1982); 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b).
23	Thus, issues raised in a post-conviction petition, but not presented for review by the Nevada
24	Supreme Court cannot be considered exhausted.
25	Having again considered the motion and related briefing, and for the reasons set forth
26	in the respondents' opposition, the Court finds that reconsideration is not warranted as petitioner

offers nothing new to establish the Court's review and conclusions were erroneous. *See School Distt. No. 1J, Multhnomah Cty. v. Acands, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). The Motion to Withdraw Order (ECF No. 30) is **denied.**

The Court's order granting the motion to dismiss and requiring petitioner to make his election as to how to proceed was originally issued on February 23, 2011. Petitioner has had more than ample time to evaluate his options and to make an informed decision. An additional 45 days is not warranted. The Motion for Enlargement of Time (EF No. 31) is **denied. He shall have to and including May 13, 2011 to make his election. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of his petition.**

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of May, 2011.

Gloria M. Navarro

United States District Judge